SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

STUDENT, B/N/F PARENT,	§	BEFORE A SPECIAL
Petitioner	§	EDU CHEAIR ING OFFICER
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
TEXAS LEADERSHIP PUBLIC	§	
SCHOOLS,	§	
Respondent	§	
	§	

I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA

PAGE 3

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 4
DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

V. FINDINGS OF FACT

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 5
DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

Student's 2021 Reevaluation and 2021-22 IEP

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123 PAGE 6
DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123 PAGE 8
DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 10 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 11 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

VI. DISCUSSION

A. Duty to Provide FAPE

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 12 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

B. Burden of Proof

CONFIDENTIAL

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123 PAGE 14

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 15 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

2. Least Restrictive Environment

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 16 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

•

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 17 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

3. Services Provided in a Coordinated, Collaborative Manner by Key Stakeholders

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-701L

PAGE 18

 \mathbf{K}

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA

PAGE.1 ER)2.6 PAT93I)3.3 I

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123

PAGE 20 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

of evaluation to assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors. 34 C.F.R. § 300.304(b)(3). It must also be sufficiently comprehensive to identify the student's special education and related service needs, whether they are commonly linked to the disability category in which the child has been classified. 34 C.F.R. § 300.304(c)(6). Before conducting a reevaluation, a school district must obtain parental consent. 34 C.F.R. § 300.300(c).

SOAH DOCKET NO. 701-23-09425.IDEA TEA DOCKET NO. 141-SE-0123 PAGE 21 DECISION OF THE HEARING OFFICER

E. Implementation of the IEP

Petitioner also claimed the District did not appropriately implement Student's IEP. To prevail on a claim under the IDEA, the party challenging implementation of the IEP must show more than a *de minimis* failure to implement all elements of that IEP, and, instead, must demonstrate that the school district failed to implement substantial or significant provisions of the IEP. This approach affords school districts some flexibility in implementing IEPs while also holding them accountable for material failures and for providing each student with a disability a FAPE. *Bobby R.*, 200 F. 3d at 349. Failure to implement a material portion of an IEP violates the