received the special education services 9.10.4.( )-342ca3 (A)-3 (L)]TJEt921smTJEnEnmu-3

overlooks the law cited above which allows school districts to enforce prior disciplinary placements by other school districts, thereby preventing students from transferring between school districts in order to avoid such placements.

Petitioner does not dispute that Student's conduct on May *** violated *** SCOC. Student argues instead that ***failed to hold "due process meetings for [Student's] DAEP referral."[24] To the extent Petitioner is referring to the conference and hearing contemplated by Texas Education Code § 37.009, this Judge has no authority over those proceedings. Her authority is limited to actions arising under the IDEA. 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.507, 300.532. Undr the E,1.52i(r)]TJ-0.008 Tc 0.1.9 Tw 7.7

retaliation, and intimidation.[27] Conclusory statements made in closing arguments, however, are not evidencec( )]TJ- (ion)5.3 4—-0.02 Tc 0.165 Tw -28.4 T( )--0.012 Tsing81.5 (c