

SPECIAL EDUCATION CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT

July 26, 2024

SCHOOL YEAR (SY):
MONITORING PATH:
CYCLE: GROUP:

REGION:

DISTRICT NAME: DISTRICT TYPE:

SHARED SERVICE ARRANGEMENT (SSA) MEMBER:

FISCAL AGENT:

TEXAS VIRTUAL SCHOOL NETWORK CAMPUS:

RESIDENTIAL FACILDENTIAL F.76.94 4866 149 reW*nBT62 649 744 ref*EMC qt3f SY 26 d9 744 ref

OVERVIEW OF CYCLICAL MONITORING

	as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year
from the date of this notification	·
'	dual cases of noncompliance have each been corrected
Systemic correction: 100% com	npliance implementing regulatory requirements

Area

Citation

Area	Citation	Level	Status	Action
II.				

DATA REVIEW

Data Sources

AskTED District Identification Data Results Driven Accountability (RDA) Data Significant Disproportionality (SD) Data State Performance Plan (SPP) Data Desk Review Data On-site Review Data (if applicable)

- o On-site Interviews (e.g., campus administrator, general education teacher, special education teacher, dyslexia interventionist)
- o Classroom Observations (e.g., general education classroom, special education classroom, dyslexia intervention classroom)

Policy Review Data Stakeholder Survey Data Residential Facility (RF) Summer PEIMS Data LEA Self-Reporting Noncompliance Data (if applicable)

Student Sampling and Campus Information

Monitoring Type Sample Size

STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Understanding – This construct measures positive sentiment about knowledge of special education program requirements and LEA provisions of service.

competency required for implementing special education program requirements.

Engagement – This construct measures positive sentiment regarding engagement with special education and opportunities for involvement in special education training related. **Competency in Implementation** – This construct measures positive sentiment of perceived

SUCCESSES

SUCCESS: Systems for parent involvement are implemented well as evidenced by parent invitations and their attendance at admission, review and dismissal (ARD) committee meetings.

SUCCESS: Systems demonstrate a comprehensive continuum of placement options for determining students' least restrictive environment (LRE) to meet their instructional and related service delivery needs.

SUCCESS: Staff demonstrate expansive knowledge and a growth mindset toward special education systems and requirements as evidenced through efficient and effective communication.

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

IEP DEVELOPMENT – The Question and Answer Document: Individualized Education Program (IEP) Measurable Annual Goals guidance document provides answers to common questions about IEPs and guidance for writing annual IEP goals that ensure consideration for grade-level academic standards (i.e., standards-based IEP process) (see

https://spedsupport.tea.texas.gov/resource-library/qa-iep-measurable-annual-goals). IEP DEVELOPMENT – The TEA Technical Assistance: Individualized Education Program (IEP) Development guidance document provides information on the requirements and best practices for developing IEPs (see https://spedsupport.tea.texas.gov/resource-library/technical-assistance-individualized-education-program-development). TRANSITION – The Developing Goals resource is part of a module from the IRIS Center at Vanderbilt University that provides information about connecting transition assessments to individualized education program (IEP) goals and includes short case studies and example IEP transition goals (see https://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/module/transcp/cresource/q2/p03/).

DYSLEXIA PROGRAM EVALUATION

CONTACT

Report Date:

Deadline to Request Report Corrections:

APPENDIX I: SELF-REPORTED NONCOMPLIANCE

Area	Citation	Level	Status	Action

PPENDIX II: ADDI	TIONAL RESOL	IRCES	
		 -	

APPENDIX III: ACRONYMS

Acronym Description

