OVERVIEW OF CYCLICAL MONITORING

Table 1. Summary of the Desk Review (Policy Review and Folder Review)

LEAs with an overall noncompliant status must submit a CAP within 30 calendar days of this report. The CAP must include all citations with a noncompliance finding. LEAs should access the CAP resources and submission requirements on the <u>Review and Support TEA webpage</u>.

LEAs must complete the required actions *as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of this notification* (see <u>OSEP QA 23-01</u>). TEA determines if noncompliance has been addressed according to the following criteria:

Child-specific correction: Individual cases of noncompliance have each been corrected Systemic correction: 100% compliance implementing regulatory requirements

LEAs with both pre-finding correction of noncompliance for two or fewer students (i.e., individual level) and verification of child-specific and systemic corrections by the pre-finding correction deadline do not require a CAP. However, LEAs with an individual level of noncompliance for two or fewer students that has not been corrected by the pre-finding correction deadline or LEAs with a systemic level of noncompliance (i.e., more than two students) require a CAP.

LEAs that do not complete their CAP or complete their CAP after the required one-year timeframe from the report date will be designated as having "Continuing Noncompliance."

Area	Citation	Level	Status	Action
IEP Development	ID10 - 34 CFR §300.320(a)(2)(i)	Systemic (>2 students)	Noncompliant	Corrective Action Plan

Table 3. Noncompliance Findings from the Folder Review

The "Area" column has seven possible values representing the state-identified priority areas. The "Citation" column contains unique citations of applicable laws and regulations. The "Level" column contains two possible values: Individual (two or fewer students) and Systemic (more than two students). The "Status" column contains two possible values: Noncompliant and Pre-findings Corrected. The "Action" column contains two possible values: Corrective Action Plan and No Action Required.

Table 4. Noncompliance Findings from the Policy Review

IMPLEMENTATION OF HOUSE BILL 4545:

Accelerated Instruction

<u>House Bill (HB) 4545</u> was passed during the 87th Regular Texas Legislative Session and signed into law by Governor Abbott on June 16, 2021, and June 7, 2021, respectively. This bill subsequently became codified into Texas Education Code (TEC).

HB 4545 amended TEC $\underline{\$28.0211}$ and $\underline{\$28.0217}$, primarily, to establish new requirements related to accelerated instruction

For more information about HB 4545, please see the following resources:

House Bill 4545 Implementation Overview (TAA Letter) House Bill 4545 Overview for Parents (YouTube Video) House Bill 4545 Frequently Asked Questions

LEAs with a cyclical on-site review included an additional dyslexia sample. The dyslexia on-site sample was generated by TEA and includes the stratified random selection of not more than six students that consists of two strata with three students each identified with either dyslexia and special education or dyslexia and Section 504.

Residential Facilities (RFs)

LEAs must ensure students with disabilities receiving special education are provided a "free appropriate public education" (FAPE) when attending and being educated at an RF located in their geographical boundary (see TAC §89.1115(d)(1)(i)

STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

TEA collected stakeholder data through an open survey during the comprehensive cyclical monitoring review from family/guardians, special education providers, general education providers, and district/campus administration. If an on-site review was conducted, stakeholder data was also collected through structured interviews. The purpose of analyzing survey and interview data was to identify positive stakeholder sentiment related to three constructs:

Understanding This construct measures positive sentiment about knowledge of special education program requirements and LEA provisions of service.
Engagement This construct measures positive sentiment regarding engagement with special education and opportunities for involvement in special education training related.
Competency in Implementation This construct measures positive sentiment of perceived competency required for implementing special education program requirements.

Table 9 shows stakeholder results for each construct (i.e., understanding, engagement, competency) by role (i.e., family/guardians, special education providers, general education providers, district/campus administration). Stakeholder data were collected using a non-probabilistic sampling method and included respondents who self-identified their role and LEA when completing the online survey or interview. Therefore, inferences and judgments from the stakeholder analysis should be approached with caution. The number of respondents refers to the number of unique respondents for a particular role. Roles with fewer than five respondents are masked. The percentages are the total number of positive responses out of all responses.

Construct	Family/ Guardian	Special Education	General Education	Administration
Number of Respondents	FR	FR	FR	FR
Understanding	*	*	*	*
Engagement	*	*	*	*
Competency	*	*	*	*

Table 9. Stakeholder Results by Role and Construct

"FR" (Too Few Respondents) denotes respondent ROLE counts <5 AND "*" denotes masked data for the corresponding percentage values. "**" denotes no data reported for LEA.

SUCCESSES

The following successes were identified from the monitoring review:

SUCCESS Systems for supporting student needs are implemented well as evidenced by local education agency (LEA) staff attendance and involvement in the admission, review and

programs (IEPs).

SUCCESS Exceptional record keeping is evidenced by student files, supporting do

Area	Legal Requirement	Status
Screening	TEC §28.006(g), (g-2); TEC §38.003(a); 19 TAC §74.28 (c), (d), (e), (m)	Meets Requirements

SUMMARY OF REQUIRED ACTION

The required actions from the comprehensive cyclical monitoring review are shown in Table 12. More information about the support levels is in the <u>Differentiated Monitoring and Support</u> <u>Guide</u>.

Required Action	Due Date	Support Level	Communication Cadence
Strategic Support Plan (SSP)	NA	Universal (DL 1)	NA
Corrective Action Plan (CAP)	March 1, 2024	Intensive	30 Days
Dyslexia Performance Plan (DPP)	NA	NA	NA

Table 12. Summary of Required Action

. SSP due date was when the initial SSP submission was due. The SSP communication cadence uses the current year's RDA DLs (e.g., 2023 DL from SY 2022–2023) and includes a check-

CONTACT

The MAD should that ity It a Division of Review and Support about any concerns within 5 business days from the date of this report. The report will subsequently become publicly available on the TEA Differentiated Monitoring and Support (DMS) website shortly thereafter.

Report Date: January 31, 2024 Deadline to Request Report Corrections:

APPENDIX I: SELF-REPORTED NONCOMPLIANCE

Table 13 lists self-reported noncompliance identified by the LEA. This noncompliance is also included in the overall total count of noncompliance in Table 2.

Table 13. Self-Reported Noncompliance

Area	Citation	Level	Status	Action
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

APPENDIX II: ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Differentiated Monitoring and Support System

Differentiated Monitoring and Support Guide

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements

Race and Ethnicity in Special Education: Difference Between Data Collection and Data Reporting

Results Driven Accountability Reports and Data

Results Driven Accountability District Reports

2023 Accountability Manual, Chapter 12-Results Driven Accountability (RDA)

APPENDIX III: ACRONYMS

Acronym	Description
ARD	Admission, Review, and Dismissal
САР	Corrective Action Plan
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CISD	Consolidated Independent School District
DMS	Differentiated Monitoring and Support
DPP	Dyslexia Performance Plan
DL	Determination Level
ESC	Education Service Center
FAPE	Free Appropriate Public Education
ISD	Independent School District
IDEA	Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
LEA	Local Education Agency
OSEP	Office of Special Education Programs
OSPM	Office of Special Populations and Monitoring
PEIMS	Public Education Information Management System
RDA	Results Driven Accountability
RF	Residential Facilities
SD	Significant Disproportionality
SPP	State Performance Plan
SSA	Shared Service Arrangement
SSP	Strategic Support Plan
ТАА	To the Administrator Addressed (TAA) Letter
TAC	Texas Administrative Code
TEA	Texas Education Agency
TEC	Texas Education Code
TSDS	Texas Student Data System

