2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On December 18, 2020 the TEA conducted a policy review of Arrow Acade non December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desidew of Arrow Academy The total number of the reviewed for the Arrow Academy comprehensive desk reviews 15. Thereview found overall that 1 file out of 15 files was compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Arrow Academy's organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings have appendix

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child FindEvaluationFAPE	18 of 18	13 of 15
IEP Development	5 of 5	15 of 15
IEP Content	3 of 3	15 of 15
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	5 of 15
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	12 of 15
State Assessment	4 of 4	15 of 15
Transition	6 of 6	0 of 0

2020-2021 CHARTER CAMPUS INFORMATION

Arrow Academy(021805) has 4 Active Campuses and is approved to serve student(s1i2) grade. Campuses are located tine following countiesBrazos, Fort Bend, and Haritshe student file review included 1 files from 1st-5th gradeand 4 files from grade 6h. The chart below identifies the campuses which were included in the cyclical review.

Campus Name	Campus Number	County	Grade Level(s)
Arrow AcademySave Our Streets Center	021805041	Brazos County	K-6
Arrow AcademyLiberation Academy	021805101	Fort Bend County	K-6
Arrow AcademyHarvest Preparatory Academy	021805102	Harris County	K-6

DATA SUMMARØF RESULTOSRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITYATSE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATOARIS, SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental at a may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan if noncompliance is identified.

Year	ResultsDriven Accountability (RDA) DeterminationLevel	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality
2020	DL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A

*Indicator 11: Child Find Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

2020-2021 COVID 9 IMPACT NARRATIVE SUBMISSION

In the 20202021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (has opportunity to share the practices incorporated to suppo@hild Find and FAPE for students being served by special education during the COVID pandemidy completing the COVID 19 Impact Narrative.

Arrow Academysubmitted a COVID9 Impact Narrative as a supplement to their Cyclical Review:

Yes No

2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEW/SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

Minimum size requirements not met. Results not published within this report due to the limitation of the sample size.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

steps to address each of the noncompliance findin/blen noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Arrow Academy will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 992.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- · Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implyephsio8f. (io8f. 0.00 0.00 BcM.5 (i)4 9 0 Td [(I)2 (c)4 g4 (e)- (E)13 (A)4. (e)3p(d)

CAP	3/22/2021	1/28/2022	30 days

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

^{**}LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

REFERENCES

Differentiated Monitoring and Support System

Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements

ResultsDrivenAccountability Reports and Data

ResultsDriven Accountability District Reports

Results-Driven Accountability Manual

IEP Implementation

STUDENT FILE REVIEW

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TA©itation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressec in CAP
IE9		TAC §89.63(b), 1075(e)	Yes	Individual—Yes	Yes
		,	No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate	110
				noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemie—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressithis issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for	er Not Applicable
				self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	

Properly Constituted ARD

STUDENT FILE REVIEW

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressec in CAP
PCA1	0	TAC §89.105(c) (1)(J)	Yes	Individua—Yes	Yes
		(1)(0)	No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate	No
				noncompliance in this area to consider in the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted as determine if compensatory services are needed.	140t Applicable
				Systemie-Yes	
				 Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. 	
				 Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self monitoring this area of noncompliance. 	