

History of Promotion Policies in Texas Through 2021-22

Texas policy on student promotion and retention decisions has evolved over **floe** parstades, while consistently requiring that decisions about promotion be based on academic achievement. Legislation passed in 1984 prohibited social promotion, requiring instead that students be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement (Texas Education Code [TEC] § **2** Ir **a 2** Requirement for Advancement or Course Cred 1986). The State Board of Education (SBOE) rules implementing the legislation Promotions and Alternatives to Social Promotion for the Texas Administrative Code [TAC] §§75.19475.195, 1985), outlined the grading procedures to be used by districts and guidelines for promotion. The rules included the provisions that no student could repeat the same grade more than once or repeat more than two grade levels during the elementary grades.

In 1987, legislation was enacted to expand TEC §21 (56) pensatory and Remedial Instruction (1988). The legislation provided a definition of students in Grades considered to be at risk of dropping out of school and required districts to provide remedial and support programs for these students. The definition of at-risk" students included students who had not advanced from one grade level to the next in two or more school years.

In 1991, the rule prohibiting retention of students below Grade 1 was amended to allow districts to assign sixyearold students who were not developmentally ready for the first grade to grades deemed appropriate by thechools (19 TAC §75.195(j), 1992 Supplement).

Also in 1991, legislation updated TEC §21.721 (1992) to eliminate the prohibition on advancement of students with grade averages below 70. Policies on advancement from one grade level to the next were to be adopted by school districts. Local policies on promotion had to incorporate a variety of factors, including a minimum yearly grade average of active grades earned in each subject; performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS); extenuating circumstances; and the judgment of parents ad teachers. Districts were required to consider alternatives to retention, including extended school day, extended school year, specialized tutorial support, peer tutoring grades or student mentoring, and summer programs.

A retention reduction grant program was enacted in 1993 (TEC §21.562, 1994). A \$5 million appropriation allowed 54 Texas school districts to pilot extended instructional programs to eliminate retentions in first grade during the 1992 school year. The trention reduction grants allowed school districts and campuses to offer programs based on lengthening the school year as alternatives to retention. These programs provided additional instruction to students who needed extra assistance to master the

first-grade objectives in the Essential Elementations statemandated curriculum in place at that time. The pilots were extended to the second grade in 49994

writing at Grades 4, 8, and 10; and in science and social studies at Grade 8. The Grade 10 tests served as an exit

amended (Title 29 of the United States Code §794 [Section 2002]; Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 102(023), determine which accommodations can be used by students receiving special education services and Section 504 services, respectively. When a student does not receive special education or Section 504 services but meets the eligibility criteria for testing accommodations, the decision is made by the appropriate team of people at the campus level, such as the response to intervention (Rtl) team or the student assistance teamerigent bilingual students/iglishlearners (EB students/IEs) may also receive accommodations on the statewide assessment Section Section Spanistanguage versions of tests when available. Language proficiency assessment committees (LPACs) make assessment and accommodation decision decision decision tests.

Since 1995, Texas statute has stipulated"thatudent may be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency of the subject matter of the course or glade level (TEC §28.021, 1996). In 1999, specific provisions linking test performance, promotion, and instruction were added (TEC §28.0211, 1999). From 2002to 200809, students in Grade 3 were required to pass the state reading test to advance to Gractudent Success Initiative [1]) requirements for added 3 were eliminated after the 20089 school yearStudents in Grade 5 were required to pass the state reading and mathematics tests beginning in 200405. Students in Grade 8 were required to pass the reading and mathematics tests beginning in 20097. Through the 20101 school year, students in Grades 5 and 8 were given three opportunities to pass the TAKS. School districts were elimiprovide accelerated instruction in the subject areas failed after each test administration (TEC §28.0211, 2010).

If a student failed the test a second time, the district was required to establish a grade placement

Statutory promotion criteria, including requirements that students receive three opportunities to pass the reading and mathematics tests, GPCs be established, and accelerated instruction be provided, were made effective again in 2012/3 and remained in **effct** in 201314.

In 201415, the STAAR mathematics tests were updated to reflect the revised mathematics TEKS adopted by the State Board of Education in 2012. As a result of these changes, performance standards for 2015 STAAR mathematics tests in Grades were not set und after the spring 2015 administration. In addition, SSI retest opportunities for STAAR mathematics tests in Grades 5 and 8 were not offered in May and June of 2015. Districts were instructed to use other relevant academic information in place of STAAR mathematics results when making promotion and retention decisions. SSI requirements for reading remained in effect in 2016.

In 201516, the administration of STAAR tests was affected by online testing issues and reporting issues with the statetesting vendor. As a result, the June administration of the Grades 5 and 8 STAAR reading and mathematics tests was not offered. Furthermore, SSI requirements for Grades 5 and 8 were suspended. Districts were instructed to use other relevant academication in place of STAAR reading and mathematics results when making promotion and retention decisions.

In 201617, the administration of STAAR tests went as planned. Statutory promotion criteria, including SSI requirements that students in Grades 5 and 8 receive three opportunities to pass the reading and mathematics tests, GPCs be established, and accelerated instruction be provided, were madetrefitestive1a@am(t)-3.995 (i)-4.e995 (bl)6M (r)-3.007y BT q 0 0 61tr(r)6.99smu declnts were n5 (ng)106 (i)-

For the 2017/18 school year, SSI requirements were not in place for all students for two reasons. First, after Hurricane Harvey, the commissioner of education gave all districts within-doen4ttly area identified in the presidential disaster declaration option re W* n BT /0 0 4 (e)9.004 (r)-3.4.03itor986 (r)-395 (h)10 In 202021, because office continued effects of the COVID-19 pandemic the commissioner of education issued a waiver related to **Solu** motion requirements STAAR reading and mathematics tests for Grades 5 and were administered only on **ca**nd retest opportunities were not offer additionally, SSI promotion requirements for Grades 5 and 8 were susper **Detution** charter schools are instructed to us **S**TAAR test results along withother relevant academic information when making promotion and retention decision and to provide accelerated instruction to students without perform satisfactorily on the tests SSI requirements for Grades 5 and 8 were eliminated after the 20201 school year.

In 2021, the 87th Texas Legislaturpassec SB 1697, allowing a parent or guardiaor f a student in a public school district or charter schoolelect for the student to repeat gradebetween prekindergarten and Grade8 or to retake a high school cours (EEC §28.0212,42022). Students in prekindergarten through Grade 3 may still be retained at the request of a parent or guardiper statute this option expired at the end of the 20-22 school year for students in Grades 142.

The legislature also passed 4545 in 2021, establishing new requirements for accelerated

References

Rehabilitation Act of 1973. 29 U.S.C. §7920(23), retrievedSeptember 13, 2028rom <u>http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?path=/prelim@title29/chapter16&edition=p&din</u>6.F.R. Part 104 2023), retrievedSeptember 13, 2028rom <u>https://www.ecfr.gov/cgibin/retrieveECFR?gp</u> <u>=&SID=7cc2707a9e38939aefcaef1622cb0ee9&mc=true&n=pt34.1.104&r=PART&ty=HTML</u>

Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1984). St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1985). Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1992, SupplementPaul, MN: West Publishing

Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1997). St. Paul, MN: West Group.

Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1998). St. Paul, MN: West Group.

Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Educatio 2023). Retrieved September 1,32023, from http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx\$.startup

Texas Education Code. (1986) exas school law bulletinst. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Education Code. (1988) exas school law bulletinst. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Education Code. (1992) exas school law bulletinst. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Education Code. (1994) exas school law bulletinst. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Education Code. (1996) exas school law bulletin St. Paul, MN: West Publishing.

Texas Education Code. (1999) exas school law bulletinst. Paul, MN: West Group.

Texas Education Code. (2010) exas school law bulletinst. Paul, MN: Thomson Reuters.

Texas Education Code. (2017) exas school law bulletinst. Paul, MN: Thomson Reuters.

Texas Education Code. (2016) exas school law bulletin Charlottesville, VA: Matthew Bender.

Texas Education Code20(22). Texas school law bulletinPark City, UT: Blue360 Media

Texas Government Code 18.016 (2023). Retrieved September, 2023, from https://statutes.capitol.texas.gov/Docs/GV/htm/GV.418.htm#418.016 **Texas Education Agency** Mike Morath, Commissioner of Education

Office of Operations Alejandro DelgadoDeputy Commissioner

Department of Organizational Development Jennifer Chidsey, Associate Commissioner

Division of Research and Analysis Linda RoskaExecutive Director

Accountability Research Unit Jennifer Broussar@irector

Project Staff

Patty OHara Veronica Pedregon Freya Gaertner

Editorial Staff Christine Whalen Shannon Nagy Richard Kallus

Citation. Texas Education Agency. (28). History of promotion policies



Texas Education Agency 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494

November 2023