21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS: Evaluation of Projects Funded During the 2004-2005 School Year

Evaluation Project Staff Andrew Moellmer Amie Rapaport Eishi Adachi Jessica Sievert

Program Staff Gina Day Geraldine Kidwell Kristen Stohl

Office for Planning, Grants and Evaluation Texas Education Agency February 2007 The 21st Century Community Learning Centers (**2**CSLC) program is authorized under Title IV, Part B, of the Elementary and Second Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. The purpeos of the program is to create or expand community learning centers that provide acaideen richment activities to economically disadvantaged and other students in at-risk sizes In addition to academics, other valuable services and activities are included (e.g., dand violence prevention, character education, technology, art, music, recreation) at are intended to contempent the students' regular academic program during non-school hours (e.g., after school, weekends, summer).

It is important to note that 21st CCLCs are **inde**nded solely for academic improvement, but also to provide a safe place after school where ests can go to receive academic assistance if needed and participate in a range of enrichtractivities. While academic improvement is certainly the key long-term goal, research end athers interested programmatic impacts should keep in mind the value of shorter-termon-academic benefits when evaluating these programs.

One innovative feature of the program is the vipsion of academic and enrichment activities targeted at students' adult family membernal young siblings. For example, the 21st CCLCs offer a material benefit to working parents by vipiding a safe, supervised environment for their children during after-school hours and other periods where out in session (e.g., weekends, summer recess).

Previous research studies on the effecti**serous** after-school programs conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, The Harvard Family Research Project, The National Institute on Out of School Time, and other entities have shown that such programs can have a positive impact on students' classroom and outschool behavior, as well as academic performance.

Although the program has been in existence for umber of years, it was during the 2003-2004 program year that grant funds were first **subj**to program enhancements contained in the NCLB Act of 2001, including a requerement that the program be continuously evaluated using

vi

federally- and state-determin**pe** formance measures. In020 the Texas Education Agency (TEA) conducted its first annual evaluation20 fst CCLC programs based on the first round of data submitted by Cycle 1 grantees to fulfills the quirement. This report constitutes the second statewide evaluation of 21st CCLC programs invaise and examines the effect of program participation on various academic performant performant the 2004-2005 school year. By that school year, three cycles grant funding were awarded to 122 grantees, with a total of nearly 116,000 students receiving services 208 community learning centers. The findings presented in this report are a follow-up from the grant's report and inform the direction that future longitudinal and compacing group studies may take once more data become available.

Overall, the data show that the 21st CCLC program is reaching the intended population. Examination of student demographic infortion shows that a majority of 21st CCLC participants during to 2004-2005 school year were economically disadvantaged, Hispanic, and enrolled in elementary school. Less than 13% to dents were enrolled in a middle/high school. Approximately one in three 21st CCLC students in 2004-2005 were limited English proficient. These results are consistentoes all three grant cycles.

Student participation rates in Cycle 1 and Cycle 3 programs weesistent, with 41% to 43% of students attending more than 50% of available gram days during the school year. Student participation was somewhat lower in Cycle 2, with 34% of students attemder than 50% of available program days.

The majority of activities (52% or more in eavy grant cycle) implemeted at Texas community learning centers provided instruction in the careademic areas of reading/language arts and mathematics, and in fine arts and youth depresent. Services offered by community learning centers were provided by certifited chers (60% or more of iplastaff in every grant cycle) working in collaboration with an average of five to seven community-based partners per center.

The findings presented in the poet indicate that practicipation in 21st CCLC funded activities during the 2004-2005 school year was associated inverse student performance in several key areas, for some student populations:

vii

Middle/high school students who regularly attended the 21st CCLC program performed better than middle/high school studentsmir21st CCLC feeder schools who did not attend the program on the following metrics:

- s Regular school day reading grades
- s Regular school day mathematics grades
- s Retention rates (i.e., program participalmass lower rates defining retained in grade than non-participating students)

Similarly positive results were not observed for TAKS reading outcomes.

Elementary school-aged 21st CCLC particits and not show improved performance over non-participant students the following metrics:

- s TAKS reading and mathematics scores
- s Regular school day reading grades
- s Regular school day mathematics grades
- š Retention rates

over nonHao277E xan high school students fl

participating students to spend more time in marcounity learning center is an area of focus for program improvement.

The positive finding that students engage in nomenter activities if they have adult family members participating indicates that encourge defamily participation in center activities may positively impact students' experiences. Previous research shows that less than half of the targeted adults (49%) actually participate dommunity learning center activities during the 2003-2004 school year. The data showed that oncedtide participate, approximately two-thirds (66%) of adult family members returned participate again the lowing school year¹. Improving family member recruitment strategies be an important means increase student participation, and by extension lead to improve ademic performance among the students in atrisk situations targeted by the 21st CCLC program.

¹ See Texas Education Agency, <u>21st Century Community Learning Center: Evaluation of Projects Fund</u>ed for the <u>2004-05 School Yea</u>(http:// www.tea.state.tx.us/opge/progeval/OutOfSchoolLearning/21cclc_03_04_eval.pdf).